UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,030	12/31/2003	Jud C. Staniar	2348.0050000	9242	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934			EXAMINER		
			HOLLY, JOHN H		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003-3934		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3694		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonsions Cummons	0/750,030 STANIAR ET AL.					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN H. HOLLY	3694				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>JOHN H. HOLLY</u> .	(3) <u>JASON EISENBERG</u> .					
(2) <u>KIRSTEN L. APPLE</u> .	(4) <u>JOSEPH MUTSEHELK</u>	<u>'NAUS</u> .				
Date of Interview: <u>03 June 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	<b>;</b> ]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Alexandra J. Adrian Pub. # US 2005/0027654 A1.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the proposed amendments to claim 1 to overcome the 101 rejection. Claim 1 was also discussed as it related to the Alexandra J. Adrian prior art reference (Pub. # US 2005/0027654 A1). The attorney argued that the Alexandria J. Adrian reference does not teach all of the limitations of claim 1, for example, receiving a discount if paid early, Net 10, 15. No agreement was met.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Kirsten S Apple/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694	/JOHN H. HOLLY/ Examiner, Art Unit 3694					

Application No.

Applicant(s)